# TENNESSEE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FISCAL REVIEW COMMITTEE



## FISCAL MEMORANDUM

HB 2725 – SB 2580

April 19, 2012

SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS (016920, 016587, 016583): Deletes all language after the enacting clause. Requires the Department of Human Services (DHS) to implement a program of suspicion-based substance abuse testing, unless overturned by a federal court, for each adult applicant who is otherwise eligible for Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) or a successor program, by requiring the results of a urine drug test performed within the previous 45 days from the date of application. Requires DHS to institute and require a urine drug test for each individual who receives or applies for TANF, if the Department has reason to believe that such individual has used illegal drugs. The following shall be considered grounds for a suspicion-based urine drug test: a recipient is convicted of a violation of a drug or alcoholrelated offense; a recipient fails a Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory test; or a recipient fails any other substance abuse test. Specifies that the cost of all testing is the responsibility of the individual tested. Requires each applicant to sign a written acknowledgment of the above provisions. Defines "drug" as marijuana, cocaine, methamphetamine, amphetamine, opiates such as morphine, and any additional controlled substances added by the Commissioner of DHS. Defines "five panel test" as a test for marijuana, cocaine, methamphetamine, amphetamine, and opiates, such as morphine and states that a five panel test for adult applicants for TANF is sufficient for compliance with the testing requirement of this act. Requires DHS to provide notice that the drug test may be avoided if the individual chooses not to apply for TANF. Requires any teen parent not living with a parent, legal guardian, or other adult caretaker to comply with the drug testing requirement. Requires a confirmatory second test for individuals who fail the initial test. Refers an individual who tests positive on the confirmation test to an appropriate treatment resource for drug abuse treatment for an appropriate treatment period of up to six months. Prohibits an individual who tests positive at the conclusion of the treatment period or who fails to complete the treatment program from receiving benefits for one year. Requires DHS to provide benefits to an applicant during the treatment period, if the applicant is otherwise eligible to receive such benefits. Specifies that a child's eligibility for public assistance benefits is not affected if the child's parent is deemed ineligible and that an appropriate protective payee shall be designated to receive benefits on behalf of the child. Exempts individuals employed by an employer who participates in the Drug Free Workplace Act and who successfully passed a drug test within 45 days of applying from benefits from the requirements of this act. Authorizes funds allocated to a TANF recipient who, without good cause, fails to comply with such recipient's work or training requirements to be used to provide drug testing pursuant to this act.

Requires any revenue derived or saved from the implementation and administration of this act to be first used to defray the costs of administering the testing program. Requires any revenue derived or saved from the implementation and administration of drug testing remaining after defraying the administrative costs or that cannot be used for such purpose to be earmarked for use by DHS in carrying out its duties to TANF recipients.

#### FISCAL IMPACT OF ORIGINAL BILL:

Increase State Expenditures – \$244,600/FY12-13 \$186,500/FY13-14 \$172,300/FY14-15 and Subsequent Years

Other Fiscal Impact – The total decrease in recurring state expenditures from benefit sanctions as a result of the new eligibility requirement is estimated to be \$1,280,040. These TANF funds, not expended due to applicant sanctions, can be utilized by DHS in administering the drug testing program. However, according to DHS, the Department is already at the cap of allowable administrative costs for TANF and any cost avoidance resulting from this bill would be used to serve TANF eligible clients, resulting in an equal increase in recurring state expenditures, for a net impact of zero on TANF funds. It is anticipated that passage of this bill would result in legal action against the state, with litigation costs exceeding \$100,000.

#### FISCAL IMPACT OF BILL WITH PROPOSED AMENDMENTS:

Other Fiscal Impact – The total decrease in recurring state expenditures from benefit sanctions as a result of the new eligibility requirement is estimated to be \$1,271,900. The total increase in state expenditures associated with administering the drug testing program is estimated to be \$382,500 in FY12-13, \$419,900 in FY13-14, and \$405,700 in FY14-15 and subsequent years. TANF funds not expended due to applicant sanctions will first be utilized by DHS to defray the costs of administering the drug testing program. Any funds remaining after defraying the costs of administering the test program will be used to serve TANF eligible clients.

Assumptions applied to amendments:

- The cost of drug testing, including any confirmation tests, will be the responsibility of the individual tested. DHS will not reimburse any individuals for such cost.
- DHS will require an additional position to manage and coordinate the activities of the statewide program. The position will require extensive knowledge of drug testing procedures and be responsible for consulting with staff on interpretation of test results. The recurring increase in state expenditures associated with this position will be \$74,709 for salary (\$54,600), benefits and insurance (\$14,089) and office supplies and connections (\$6,020). Half of this increase in expenditures, or \$37,355, will occur in FY12-13 due to the effective date of the program of January 1, 2013. A one-time increase in state expenditures of \$1,100 for wiring connections and equipment.
- Modifications of the current TANF eligibility system (ACCESS) will require 900 contracted hours for analysis, design and testing at a cost of \$79 per hour, for a total one-time increase in state expenditures of \$71,100 (900 x \$79). Maintenance on the current system will be performed for one year, resulting in an increase in one-time state expenditures of \$14,220 (\$71,100 x 20%) in FY13-14.

- Modification of the TANF eligibility system (VIP) which will replace the current ACCESS system will also require 900 contracted hours for analysis, design and testing at a cost of \$101 per hour, for a total one-time increase in state expenditures of \$90,900 (900 x \$101). A recurring increase in state expenditures for maintenance cost will be \$18,180 (\$90,900 x 20%).
- Because those individuals who are identified to be tested have an increased chance to cause disruptions, DHS requires security at larger county offices. Security is already provided for the large urban offices, so DHS estimates that 10 security guards will be needed to guard the most populous rural offices during regular business hours.
- According to DHS, the current contract rate for a security guard is \$10.63 per hour. This will result in a recurring increase in state expenditures of \$225,888 (10 guards x 8.5 hours per day x \$10.63 per hour x 250 business days). Half of this increase in expenditures, or \$112,944, will occur in FY12-13 due to the effective date of the program of January 1, 2013.
- DHS will contract with a vendor to develop training to enable staff to consistently recognize a reasonable suspicion that the adult engages in illegal use of illicit drugs. One-time state expenditures associated with the training will be \$25,000 with a recurring increase in state expenditures of \$7,500 for annual training beginning in FY13-14.
- According to DHS, the average monthly amount of benefits for an adult TANF client is approximately \$40.
- Exempting individuals employed by an employer who participates in the Drug Free Workplace Act and who successfully passed a drug test within 45 days of applying from benefits from the requirements of this act will not result in a significant decrease in the estimated number of people tested.
- According to DHS, there are approximately 48,000 adult individuals eligible for TANF benefits every year. Further, DHS currently serves 40,000 recipients monthly.
- It is estimated that 25 percent of adult applicants and recipients, or 22,000 [(48,000 x 25%)) + (40,000 x 25%)], will be drug tested annually. It is further estimated that 20 percent of the individuals tested, or 4,400, will test positive and that 25 percent of those, or 1,100, will be TANF child-only cases and will not lead to a sanction of benefits.
- It is further estimated that the new eligibility requirement will result in approximately 1,500 new appeals, with a 15 percent, or 225, success rate. DHS will be required to provide benefits retroactive to the date of application for those individuals. The average length of time for an appeal is approximately 90 days, or 3 months of benefits. As a result, DHS will retroactively expend \$27,000 (225 x \$40 x 3).
- It is estimated that one additional position will be required to conduct the hearings for these new appeals. The recurring increase in state expenditures associated with this position will be \$79,430 for salary (\$55,200), benefits and insurance (\$14,180) and office supplies and connections (\$10,050). Half of this increase in state expenditures, or \$39,715, will occur in FY12-13 due to the effective date of the program of January 1, 2013. A one-time increase in state expenditures of \$4,400 for office furniture and equipment.
- Approximately 3,075 (4,400 1,100 225) will be subject to sanctions of one year in length. It is estimated that 80 percent of such individuals, or 2,460, will seek substance abuse treatment.

- Based on information presented in a 2007 study conducted by the University of Memphis, *Substance Abuse Treatment Effectiveness in Tennessee: 2005-2006 Statewide Treatment Outcomes Evaluation*, approximately 20 percent of individuals applying for drug treatment found an opening in a program to receive treatment. Approximately 75 percent of such individuals successfully completed the program.
- It is estimated that 369 individuals (2,460 x 20% x 75%) will successfully complete a drug treatment program and will test negative on the follow-up drug tests. There will be no benefit sanctions to these individuals as they will receive TANF benefits during their treatment.
- Pursuant to subdivision (h)(6) of Section 3 of the bill as amended, neither the Department or the State is responsible for providing or paying for substance abuse treatment as part of any screening conducted under this section. The cost of any drug treatment program will be borne by the individual or through community provider grant programs.
- The remaining 2,706 individuals (4,400 1,100 225 369) will be sanctioned for a full year, for a total of \$1,298,880 (2,706 x \$40 x 12).
- The total decrease in recurring state expenditures resulting from sanctions as a result of the new eligibility requirement will be \$1,271,880 (\$1,298,880 \$27,000).
- The total increase in state expenditures in FY12-13 will be \$382,514 (\$37,355 + \$1,100 + \$71,100 + \$90,900 + \$112,944 + \$25,000 + \$39,715 + \$4,400).
- The total increase in state expenditures in FY13-14 will be \$419,927 (\$74,709 + \$14,220 + \$18,180 + \$225,888 + \$7,500 + \$79,430).
- The total increase in state expenditures in FY14-15 and subsequent years will be \$405,707 (\$74,709 + \$18,180 + \$225,888 + \$7,500 + \$79,430).
- TANF funds not expended due to applicant sanctions will first be utilized by DHS in administering the drug testing program. However, according to DHS, the Department is already at the cap of allowable administrative costs for TANF, and this cost shift from direct services to administrative costs could require the Department to seek additional state appropriations to offset the loss of direct services funds. Any funds remaining after defraying the costs of administering the test program will be used to serve TANF eligible clients.

### **CERTIFICATION:**

The information contained herein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Lucian D. Geise, Executive Director

/bos